### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

REC'D	3	1	AUG	2005
WIPO				PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		s or ac 356V	gent's file reference /O	FOR FURTHE	R ACTION	See Notification	on of Transmittal of International camination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
			olication No. /050618	International filing 11.09.2003	date (day/mont	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.09.2003
H04	nation 4B7/2		ent Classification (IPC) or b	ooth national classifica	ation and IPC		
TEL	EFC	NAK	TIEBOLAGET LM ER	ICSSON (PUBL)			
1.	This Auti	s inter hority	national preliminary exa and is transmitted to the	mination report has applicant accordin	been prepar g to Article 36	ed by this Inte 5.	rnational Preliminary Examining
2.	This	REF	ORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, includi	ng this cover	sheet.	
	☐ The	(see	s report is also accompa n amended and are the Rule 70.16 and Section nexes consist of a total o	n 607 of the Admini	tannint chaat	s containina r	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority he PCT).
з.	This	repo ⊠	rt contains indications re Basis of the opinion	lating to the following	ng items:		
	II		Priority				
	III IV				to novelty, in	ventive step a	nd industrial applicability
	V	☒	Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncitations and explanations	nder Rule 66.2(a)(i	i) with regard	to novelty, inv	ventive step or industrial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cite				
	VII		Certain defects in the i	nternational applica	ation		
	VIII		Certain observations of	n the international a	application		
Date c	of subi	misslo	n of the demand	-	Date of c	ompletion of thi	s report
11.07	7.200	)5			31.08.2	005	
Name prelimi	and n	exami	address of the international		Authorize	d Officer	allectus Policians.
	<u>)</u>	NL- Tel.	opean Patent Office - P.B. ( 2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Ba +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 (	s	Sorrent	ino, A	
<u>`</u>			: +31 70 340 - 3016	•	Telephon	e No. +31 70 34	40-4107

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/050618

•	1. W th ai	lith regard to the <b>eler</b> le receiving Office in and are not annexed to	nents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" to this report as they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):				
	D	escription, Pages					
	1-	8	as originally filed				
	CI	aims, Numbers					
	1-	14	as originally filed				
	Dr	awings, Sheets					
	1/2	e, 2/2	as originally filed				
2	. Wi lar	th regard to the <b>lang</b> guage in which the i	uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	Th	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a ti	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of pul	plication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tr Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the purposes of international proliminant events at its for the				
3.	Wit inte	h regard to any <b>nucl</b> rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.				
		I filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		l furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				

sheets:

 $\Box$  the drawings,

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/050618

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sin been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	nce they have
	1 talo 7 0,2(0)).	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

1-14

Inventive step (IS)

No: Claims
Yes: Claims

1-14

1-14

Yes: Claims

No:

res: Claims

Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: EP-A-1 286 491 (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND CO LTD) 26 February 2003 (2003-02-26)

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of 1 claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for aligning transmission time intervals of physical channels in the uplink and downlink directions of a bidirectional radio communication system (fig. 6)

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known in that

- The response processing delay is measured or estimated at a user terminal
- Depending on this measurement or estimate Transmission Time Intervals of an uplink physical channel are delayed with respect to the corresponding downlink physical channels

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as minimizing Round Trip Delay (RTD).

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

In D1 response processing delay is not measured or estimated but user terminal has at its disposal predetermined multiple time instances (sub TTI, as defined in D1) to send uplink data (ACK/NACK) according to its own signal processing capabilities and used modulation type. Slower user terminal will send uplink data after a longer delay compared to faster user terminal, but no measurement or estimation of actual

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

response processing delay is involved or suggested. Applicant's solution permits a further refinement of the Round Trip Delay when compared to D1.

- 2 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the other corresponding independent claims that, therefore, also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 3 Claims 2-10,12 are dependent on claim 1 and 11 respectively and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.